

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/645,196 08/21/2003 Donald E. Weder 8403.950 7086 **EXAMINER** 30589 02/03/2005 7590 DUNLAP, CODDING & ROGERS P.C. GELLNER, JEFFREY L PO BOX 16370 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73113 3643

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/645,196	WEDER, DONALD E.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey L. Gellner	3643
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	November 2004.	
,	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the applicati	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-50 is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) \square objected to t	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority document 	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage
application from the International Bur	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	roppiyod
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	iummary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/28/04; 8/10/04. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Species I (Figs. 13-16) in the reply filed on 15 November 2004 is acknowledged. Claims 19-50 are withdrawn from examination by the Applicant in the reply filed 15 November 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 10, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Sherwood (US 4,417,609).

As to Claim 1, Sherwood discloses a decorative wrapper comprising a sheet of material (40 of Fig. 2) with edges, sides, surfaces, and a width, a first extension (2 of Figs. 1 and 2) with sides and a width substantially less than the width of the sheet of material (shown in Fig. 2); a second extension (3 of Figs. 1 and 2) with sides and a width substantially less than the width of the sheet of material (shown in Fig. 2); both first and second extensions attached to the sheet via a seal (col. 4 lines 53-64) having a majority of their facing portions being unattached and their first edges being flush with the edges of the sheets (shown in Fig. 2); the wrapper about an item (from col. 2 lines 29-38) so as to form a decorative cover, the wrapper with a skirt (portion around leadline 42 of Fig. 2) having four upper peripheral edges so as to have the cover the

Application/Control Number: 10/645,196

Art Unit: 3643

appearance of two separate sheets (Fig. 2). The cover of Sherwood inherently performs the method steps recited in Fig. 1.

As to claim 2, Sherwood further discloses the edges of the extensions being straight (Fig. 2).

As to claim 3, Sherwood further discloses at least one edge of an extension being non-linear (Fig. 2 when one of the coupons is pulled from the extension).

As to claim 4, Sherwood further discloses the edges of the sheet being straight (Fig. 2).

As to claim 6, Sherwood further discloses the extensions having widths of between 2 and 35% (Fig. 2).

As to claims 8 and 10, Sherwood further discloses the seal being a strip (col. 4 lines 53-64) of adhesive (col. 4 lines 53-64).

As to claim 11, Sherwood further discloses the sheet made of opaque material (know that grocery bags made of brown paper) with the seals not visible (adhesive would not be visible when used).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC §103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. §103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherwood (US 4,417,609).

As to claim 5, the limitations of Claim 1 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed is at least one of the edges being non-linear. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the sheet of Sherwood by making the sheet's edge/edges non-linear so as to meet consumer tastes and demand.

Page 4

As to claim 7, the limitations of Claim 1 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed are the seals being discrete areas of connection. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the sheet of Sherwood by having the adhesive on the extension in discrete regions so as to save on the use of adhesive.

Claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Sherwood (US 4,417,609) in view of Celtorius et al. (Des. 419,436).

As to claims 12 and 13, the limitations of Claim 1 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed is the decorative wrapper secured with a string. Celtorius et al., however, discloses a similar decorative wrapper secured by a string. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the sheet of Sherwood by having a securing string as disclosed by Celtorius et al. so as to meet consumer demand.

As to claim 14, the limitations of Claim 1 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed is the decorative wrapper disposed about a potted plant. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the sheet of Sherwood as modified by Celtorius et al. by disposing a floral grouping in the cover so as to meet consumer demand for potted plants.

As to claim 15, Sherwood as modified by Celtorius et al. further disclose a floral grouping (see Celtrorius et al.) in the decorative wrapper.

As to claim 16-18, the limitations of Claim 1 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed is disposing a basket, gift item, or bulbs in the decorative wrapper. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the sheet of Sherwood as modified by Celtorius et al. by disposing either a basket, gift item, or bulbs as to meet consumer demand for their purchases and to find more uses for the decorative wrapper.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Young et al., Hanson, Brady et al., Cooper, Sherwood ('519), and McLeod disclose in the prior art various decorative wrappers with extensions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Gellner whose phone number is 703.305.0053. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 4:00 pm. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 3643

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Peter Poon, can be reached at 703.308.2574. The official fax telephone number for the Technology Center where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

Jeffrey L. Gellner Primary Examiner